

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
03/27/2001	Aya Imada	35.G2764	7091	
7590 09/18/2002				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO		EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			DUVERNE, JEAN F	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2839		
		DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002		
	03/27/2001 0590 09/18/2002 CK CELLA HARPER LLER PLAZA	03/27/2001 Aya Imada 7590 09/18/2002 CK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO LLER PLAZA	03/27/2001 Aya Imada 35.G2764  7590 09/18/2002  CK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO  LLER PLAZA NY 10112  ART UNIT  2839	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L	

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/817,141** 

Applicant(s)

lmada

Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jean Duverne	2839		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence addre	ess	
	for Reply				
A SH	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM		
- Exte	ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however,	may a reply be tir	melv filed	
- If the	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation.			
- If NC	e considered timely. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ;			•	
- Failu	onthunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	v statute, cause the application to bec	come ARANDONE	D (3E II C C E 133)	
- Ally ea	reply received by the Office later than three months after the arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	a mailing date of this communication,	even if timely file	D (35 U.S.C. s 133). d, may reduce any	
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 26, 2</u>	2004			
		***		<u> </u>	
2a) 🗆		tion is non-final.			
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecute Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	cution as to the O.G. 213.	e merits is	
<b>-</b>	ition of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>				
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>				
	Claim(s)				
	Claims				
	ntion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.			
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) disapprove	ed.	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	56.	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d).		
	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	•	(2).		
1	1. $\overline{f X}$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have		0		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burease the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ocuments have been received in t au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		- <b>\</b>	İ	
		priority under 30 0.3.0. 3 113(c	1).		
ttachme					
		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No.		İ	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2  20) Other:				
, <del>M</del>	A Paradia Discussion Creater form (1) (1 10-1443) Paper 140(5).	20/ Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshinaga et al (US patent 4,989,943).

For claims 1-9, Yoshinaga's device discloses a plastic fiber (10) including a core and a cladding at 104; a lens (12) for controlling the light rays having a spherical light condensing lens. The lens is being integrated with the plastic optical fiber by heating and pressing the lens at high temperature (heating) and the use adhesive material with bonding features. The lens is formed with glass. The optical fiber with the outer sleeve is smaller than the lens (see fig. 20. However, Yoshinaga's device fails to explicitly disclose the glass material that the lens is made of. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the lens with thermally-softening temperature higher than a thermally softening temperature than the optical core fiber, since it has been held to within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter to meet design requirement. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,141

Art Unit: 2839

For claims 16-28, Yoshinaga's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the device (22) that is used as a substrate to hold the lens and the optical fiber.

For claims 10-15 and 29-34, Yoshinaga's device discloses the aforementioned limitations except for the method of fabricating an optical fiber with lens. The method of forming or fabricating the optical fiber with the lens is not germane to the issue of patentability by itself because the features recited included in the apparatus claims.

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 2. disclosure. Won et al (US patent (6,396,981), Gilliland (US patent (6,206,582), Nedstedt (US patent (5,778,124), Fujimura (US patent (5,666,450) and JP patent (56-33606) disclose optical fiber connection features with a lens.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3. should be directed to Jean Duverne whose telephone number is (703) 305 - 0297. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (703) 308 - 3119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308 - 7722.

Art Unit: 2839

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JFD

September 8, 2002

Jean F Duverne

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2839